Comparative study of Four Layer Compression Bandaging and Topical Human Epidermal Growth Factor in Chronic Venous Leg Ulcer
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Abstract

Background: Leg ulcers are defined to be loss of epidermal and dermal tissues of leg or foot. Approximately 1% of the population suffer from a leg ulcer at some stage during their lives. Venous leg ulcers are consequence of chronic venous insufficiency.

Aims and Objectives: The aim of the study is to compare the healing rate and area of healing in chronic venous ulcer with the topical use of Human epidermal growth factor (H-EGF) along with regular four layer compression bandaging as versus four layer compression bandaging alone.

Materials And Methods: A Prospective, open label, randomized, comparative, hospital based, single centered study was conducted among 90 subjects attending General surgery OPD, K.R. Hospital attached to Mysore Medical College And Research Institute, Mysore meeting the inclusion and exclusion criteria over a period of 24 months from January 2016 to December 2017. All diagnosed cases of chronic venous ulcer were included for the study. Venous ulcers subjects with arterial insufficiency(ABPI < 0.8) and all other causes of chronic ulcers such as diabetes, trophic, arterial ulcers were excluded from the study. Descriptive statistics, Chi-square test and Student-t-test were used to analyse the results.

Results: The mean age group of the study subjects was 51.43+7.436 years in H-EGF group and 54.86+10.341 years in four layered dressing group. The gender distribution showed 86(95.56%) males as compared to 4(4.44%) females. Culture shows E.Coli in 7(15.56%) and 5(11.11%) subjects in H-EGF group and four layer dressing group respectively. 3(6.67%) and 1(2.22%) subjects had their culture positive for streptococcus in H-EGF group and four layer dressing group respectively. The H-EGF dressing group was very effective as there was faster ulcer healing when compared to regular four layer compression bandaging alone.

Conclusion: Topical H-EGF along with four layer dressing can be used in chronic venous leg ulcer, as it significantly hastens healing time than the conventional four layer bandaging technique alone.
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Infections. Approximately 1% of the population suffers from a leg ulcer at some stage during their lives [2]. Venous leg ulcers are consequence of chronic venous insufficiency [3].

The goals of non-operative treatment for venous ulceration are to promote healing of the existing ulcer and prevent recurrences while allowing the patient to maintain a normal ambulatory status. Compression therapy remains the primary non-operative treatment for chronic venous insufficiency despite progress in both ablative and reconstructive venous surgery [4].

\[
\text{Compression} = \frac{N \times \text{(No. of Bandage Layer)} \times t \times \text{(Bandage Tension)}}{R \times \text{(Radius of Leg)}}
\]

Compression pressure of a least 30mm Hg to 40mm of Hg at the ankle should be utilized in the management of venous leg ulcers. All compression bandage systems must create a pressure gradient from ankle to knee. According to the law of laplace, which mathematically relates bandage tension compression pressure, the shape of leg will create this gradient. Hence compression will be found maximum at the gaiter area just proximal to ankle joint of 30 – 42mm Hg and as we go up the leg it decreases and at the knee it in 17-20mm Hg [5].

Recommended pressures for the treatment of venous disorder include; ankle pressure of 14-17mm/Hg in superficial or early varices, 18-24mm/Hg in varices of medium severity or with ulcer treatment and prevention of mild edema and 25-35mm/Hg in gross varices, post-thrombotic syndrome, gross edema, ulcer treatment and prevention [5].

First layer dressing – Gamgee applied from foot to above knee to absorb the discharge from ulcers and protect bony prominences.

Second layer – Banding with cotton bandage to keep the 1st layer in place

Third layer – Crepe bandage which applies the compression pressure.

Four layer – Micropore to keep compression bandage in place.

Type of bandages [6, 7, 8]:

Type 1 - Light weight conforming – stretch bandages. Which have simple dressing retention function. They have light weight elastomeric threads, which impart a high degree of elasticity but little power to the bandage.

Type 2 - Light support bandages called short or minimal stretch bandages. The crepe -type products of British pharmacopoeia. These have been used for the treatment of venous ulcer. They form an inelastic covering to the leg which tends to resist any change in the geometry of the calf muscle during exercise.

Type 3 - Compression bandages, deliberate application of pressure to control edema and reduce swelling.

Type 3a - Light compression bandages maintain low level of pressure up to 20mm Hg indicated in superficial or early varices and varicos during pregnancy.

Type 3b – Moderate compression bandages, pressure of 30 mmHg the ankle, used in the treatment of varicos during pregnancy, varices of medium severity.

Type 3c – Have pressure of 40mm of Hg - Gross varices,gross edema, post thrombotic venous insufficiency and leg ulcers.

Type 3d - extra high performance compression bandages have pressure of >50 mmHg used for most edematous limbs.

Recombinant human EGF produced in E.Coli is a single, non-glycosylated polypeptide chain containing 53 amino acids and having a molecular mass of 6222 dalton. The r-Hu-EGF is purified by proprietary chromatographic techniques. Escherichia Coli is the source. The sequence of the first five N-terminal amino acids was determined and was found to be Asn-Ser-Asp-Ser-Glu, which agrees with the sequence of native human EGF. N- Terminal methionine has been completely removed enzymatically. Dimers and aggregates less than 1% as determined by silver-stained SDS-PAGE gel analysis.

Lyophilized r-Hu-EGF although stable at room temperature for 3 weeks, should be stored desiccated below 18 degree celsius. Upon reconstitution r-Hu-EGF should be stored at 4 degree celsius. between 2-7 days and for future use below -18 degree celsius. For long term storage it is recommended to add a carrier protein (0.1% HAS or BSA). Please prevent freeze-thaw cycles.

Recombinant human EGF is fully biologically active when compared to standards. The ED50, calculated by the dose-dependent proliferation of murine BALB/c 3T3 cells (measured by 3H-thymidine uptake) is < 0.1 ng/ml corresponding to a specific activity of 1X10^7 units / mg.

Protein quantitation was carried out by UV spectroscopy at 280 nm using the absorbency value of 2.858 as the extinction coefficient for a 0.1% solution. This value is calculated by the PC GENE computer analysis program of protein sequences (intelli Genetics) and analysis by RP-HPLC, using a calibrated solution of EGF as a reference standard [9, 10].

The aim of the study is to compare the healing rate and area of healing in chronic venous ulcer with the topical use of H-EGF along with regular four layer compression bandaging as versus four layer compression bandaging alone.

Materials and Methods

A prospective, open label, randomized, comparative, hospital based, single centered study was conducted among 90 subjects attending General Surgery OPD, K.R. Hospital attached to Mysore Medical College And Research Institute, Mysore meeting the inclusion and exclusion criteria over a period of 24 months from January 2016 to December 2017.

Patients aged more than 18 years with clean chronic venous ulcers of lower extremity were included in the study. Venous ulcers patients with arterial insufficiency ( ABPI < 0.8) and all other causes of chronic ulcers such as diabetes, trophic, arterial ulcers were excluded from the study.
All patients who presented to the hospital with complaints of non-healing varicose ulcer were subjected to a detailed history and clinical examination. Size of ulcer was measured by planimetry with a sterile gauze or graph paper. Patients were randomly put into test and control group. Relevant investigation done like Hb%, RBS, Doppler venous scan. Patient in H-EGF group were subjected to four layer dressings with human epidermal growth factor topically applied to the ulcer and other group of patients were subjected to regular four layer dressing, every week. Patients were followed up every week until ulcer healed completely.

Descriptive statistics, Chi-square test and Student-t-test were used to analyse the results.

Results

During the 24 months study period, 45 patients had their wounds treated by human epidermal growth factor (H-EGF) gel and 45 patients underwent four layer dressings. The mean age group of the study subjects was 51.43±7.43 (32-76) years in H-EGF group and 54.86±10.34 (38-81) years in four layered dressing group. The gender distribution showed 86 (95.56%) males as compared to 4 (4.44%) females. 16 (35.56%) subjects were diabetic and 12 (26.67%) subjects were hypertensive in H-EGF group and 14 (31.11%) subjects were diabetic and 9 (20%) subjects were hypertensive in four layer dressing group.

Culture shows E.Coli in 7 (15.56%) and 5 (11.11%) subjects in H-EGF group and four layer dressing group respectively. 3 (6.67%) and 1 (2.22%) subjects had their culture positive for streptococcus in H-EGF group and four layer dressing group respectively. 36 (80%) in H-EGF group and 31 (68.89%) in four layer dressing group had incompetence of perforators. 35 (77.78%) and 28 (62.22%) had ulcer in the left leg in H-EGF group and four layer dressing group respectively. According to the gender; the mean healing duration was 5.06 weeks in males and 5.23 weeks in females among H-EGF group and 6.57 weeks in males and 7.29 weeks in females among four layer dressing group (Table 1, Graph 1).

According to ulcer size; the mean healing time in H-EGF group was 3.44 weeks for ulcer <3cms (p 0.0032). The mean healing time in H-EGF group was 4.37 weeks and in four layer dressing group was 6.21 weeks for ulcer 3-6cms (p 0.0014). The mean healing time in H-EGF group was 7.86 weeks for ulcer >6cms (p 0.0045). The mean standard deviation was 5.98±1.664 in H-EGF group and 7.35±2.173 in four layer dressing group (Table 3, Graph 3).
Table 3. Comparison of Mean Healing Duration According to Ulcer Size In H-EGF and Four Layer Dressing Group (n=90)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ulcer Size (cms)</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean Follow Up (Weeks)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>t-Value</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;3cms</td>
<td>H-EGF Group</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>1.087</td>
<td>14.386</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four Layer</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dressing Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-6cms</td>
<td>H-EGF Group</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>1.265</td>
<td>40.558</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four Layer</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>1.054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dressing Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;6cms</td>
<td>H-EGF Group</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>1.371</td>
<td>12.492</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four Layer</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>1.078</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dressing Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>H-EGF Group</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>1.664</td>
<td>24.566</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four Layer</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>2.173</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dressing Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph 3. Comparison of Mean Healing Duration According to Ulcer Size in H-EGF and Four Layer Dressing Group (n=90)

Discussion

The intrinsic biological and physico-chemical characteristics of human epidermal growth factor, have been exploited to prepare a variety of commercially available medical products. A great deal of interest has been focused recently on the potential use of synthetic polypeptide growth factor to stimulate healing of chronic wound.

Falanga.V.Eaglstein WH et al [11], in their pilot double-blind randomized study, conducted at a single center; used H-EGF to treat 44 patients with venous ulceration of lower extremities, 22 EGF, 22 placebo. They concluded – 35 patients (17-H-EGF-18 placebo – 9 excluded) were evaluated. Follow up period was 10 weeks, median base line ulcer size – 18.5 cm², H-EGF group – 12.9 cm², Placebo group – 19.2 cm² (p=.27) (no significant difference). 6 (35% - H-EGF group), 2 (11%) - placebo group healed completely (p = 10). 6 (2 of 17 H-EGF group, 4 of 18 Placebo group developed healthy granulations suitable for grafting (p=.50).

- Median ulcer size reduction was – 7% for H-EGF group, 3% for placebo group per week, p value = 0.29.
- Overall 73% versus 33% at study end p = 0.32.
- No untoward side effects were related to the application of H-EGF group.
- Concluded that topical application of H-EGF group in the dose and the manner used was safe in this study. There was greater reduction in ulcer size.
- A large no of healed ulcers with the of H-EGF group are encouraging results.

F.J.Meyer et al [12], in their prospective randomized study of 133 patients, compared four layer dressing with 3 layer paste bandages in the treatment of venous leg ulcers. Result were 51 – 80% of 64 in 3 layer paste bandages ulcer healed completely, 45 – 65% of 69 patients with four layer healed completely (p = 0.031), 12 weeks median time – for three layer group and 16 weeks for – four layer group (p = 0.040). They Concluded three layer paste bandages were significantly more effective at healing venous ulcers than four layer regimen.

Scriven et al [13], did a prospective randomized trial of four layer bandaging versus short stretch compression bandaging for venous leg ulcers. 53 patient included in study, four layer had 32 patients, ssb had 32 patients, 50 completed the protocol, Healing rate – 55% - for four layer, 57% - for ssb - chi 2 = 0.0, df = 1, P = 1.0 Lager ulcer >10 cm² took longer to heal, smaller ulcer then <10 cm² lesser time to heal they Concluded that four layer and short stretch bandage were equally efficacious in healing venous ulcers four layer dressing limb had fewer complication than ssb, ulcer healing rates was not influenced by presence of deep venous reflux, post thrombotic deep vein changes nor by ulcer duration.

Nelson, et al [14], showed 67% healing rates of venous ulcers within 24 weeks when using four layer compression therapy and 49% healing rates with single layer compression therapy.

Conclusion

The results from present study show that four layer bandaging along with topical application of H-EGF in treating varicose ulcers is better than the conventional four layer compression bandaging alone.

H-EGF promotes faster healing by promoting granulation and accelerating the rate of epithelization. There were no side effects observed due to H-EGF.

Therefore it can be positively concluded that topical H-EGF along with four layer dressing can be used in the management of varicose ulcer, as it significantly hastens healing time in varicose ulcers than the conventional four layer bandaging technique alone.

However there were few limitations in our study which include: small sample size, open label, hospital based and single center study. Further studies evaluating this, overcoming the above limitations is highly desired.
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