

Madridge Journal of Oncogenesis

Research Article

Open Access

Protein Expression of DNA Damage Signaling Molecules in Patients with Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma

Jigna S Joshi¹, Hemangini H Vora², Nandita R Ghosh³, Jignesh V Goswami⁴ and Trupti I Trivedi^{1*}

¹Clinical Carcinogenesis Lab, Gujarat Cancer and Research Institute, Asarwa, Ahmedabad, India ²Immunohematology Lab, Gujarat Cancer and Research Institute, Asarwa, Ahmedabad, India ³Tumor biology Lab, Gujarat Cancer and Research Institute, Asarwa, Ahmedabad, India ⁴Department of Surgical Oncology, Gujarat Cancer and Research Institute, Asarwa, Ahmedabad, India

Article Info

*Corresponding author: Dr. Trupti Trivedi

Senior Scientific Officer & In-charge Clinical Carcinogenesis Lab Department of Cancer Biology The Gujarat Cancer & Research Institute Asarwa, Ahmedabad-380 016 India

E-mail: trupti.trivedi@gcriindia.org

Received: June 1, 2018 Accepted: July 4, 2018 Published: July 9, 2018

Citation: Joshi JS, Vora HH, Ghosh NR, Goswami JV, Trivedi TI. Protein Expression of DNA Damage Signaling Molecules in Patients with Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Madridge J Oncogenesis*. 2018; 2(1): 41-46. doi: 10.18689/mjo-1000107

Copyright: © 2018 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Published by Madridge Publishers

Abstract

Purpose: Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is mainly attributable to tobacco use which may cause errors in DNA synthesis leading to mutation. Eukaryotic cells have evolved the pathways to detect such damages. Disturbance in DNA damage signaling molecules might play a fundamental role in the pathogenesis of OSCC. Therefore, our aim of this study is to evaluate the protein expression of Mre11, Rad50, H2AX, 53BP1 and BRCA1 in patients with OSCC.

Materials and Method: Protein expression of Mre11, Rad50, H2AX, 53BP1 and BRCA1 were studied immunohistochemically from paraffin embedded tumor tissues of 100 patients with OSCC. Expression was scored by modified histoscore (H-score). Data were evaluated statistically using SPSS software.

Results: Nuclear protein expression was observed for the Mre11, Rad50, H2AX and 53BP1 while cytoplasmic expression was observed for BRCA1 protein. Significant association was observed between Mre11 protein expression and nodal extension (p=0.019), Rad50 protein expression and advance disease stage (stage I/II; p=0.015), 53BP1 protein expression and buccal mucosa cancer (p=0.045). Further, amongst all the studied biomarkers, Mre11 was significantly associated with reduced relapse free survival (RFS) in both univariate (p=0.045) and multivariate survival (p=0.040) analysis. None of the other studied DNA damage signaling molecules were associated with reduced relapse or death rate after the adjuvant therapies.

Conclusion: Our results suggests that amongst all studied signaling molecules strong expression of Mre11 protein is associated with increased recurrence rate suggesting it might be used as prognostic tool in the analysis of tumor specimen of OSCC.

Keywords: Oral squamous cell carcinoma; Mre11; Rad50; H2AX; BRCA1; 53BP1.

Introduction

High incidence of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is attributable to high prevalence of life style habits such as smoking, chewing tobacco and high alcohol consumption which functions as a cofactor. As a result of that every cell in the body experiences DNA damages such as single stranded breaks (SSBs) and double-strand breaks (DSBs). Such damages are particularly harmful to the cell as they causes base pair mismatch which is strongly associated with cancer susceptibility [1]. DNA damage can have deleterious effects, as it interferes with DNA replication and transcription and ultimately results in mutations and chromosomal aberrations. In dividing cells, if DNA damages are not repaired, causes errors during DNA synthesis leading to mutations that can give rise to cancer. Thus, individuals with an inherited impairment in DNA repair capability are often at increased risk of cancer [2].

Previously, we have identified a mediator of DNA damage check point protein (MDC1) as a significant predictor of OSCC [3]. Therefore, we hypothesized that deficiencies in DNA damage signalling molecules might play fundamental roles in the pathogenesis of OSCC. These include several sensor proteins like Mre11, Rad50, H2AX, 53BP1 and BRCA1. Mre11 is a core protein of the MRN complex, co-localize at the site of DNA DSBs along with Nibrin and Rad50 and forms distinctive foci upon ionizing radiation [4-6]. Rad50 acts as a bridge at the junction of DNA DSBs and facilitates the recognition and processing of broken DNA ends by Mre11 exonuclease activity and holds the broken strand of DNA together during the repairing process [4]. Earlier, in head & neck cancers, Rad50 has been explored as a potential therapeutic target [7]. When mutation occurs in Rad50 gene it leads to the formation of an abnormally small, non-functional version of Rad50 protein. Further, one of the earliest steps in the cellular response to DSBs is the phosphorylation of histone H2AX at serine 139, resulting in yH2AX [8]. During 30 minutes after DSB formation, large numbers of y-H2AX molecules form in the chromatin around the break site, creating a focus where proteins involved in DNA repair accumulate [9]. 53BP1 is known to be an activator of p53 [10]. However, 53BP1 also has p53 independent functions, and deletion of both 53BP1 and p53 has a synergistic effect on tumor development and was considered to induce apoptosis by activating tumor-suppressor gene p53 [11] but recently, it has been found that 53BP1 plays a critical role in the DNA damage repair to maintain cell genomic stability and in prevention of tumor development [12,13]. BRCA1 is tumor suppressor and genome guardian protein [14]. It participates in processes such as cell cycle checkpoint; activation; transcription regulation and DNA repair [15]. Nuclear BRCA1 functions in transcriptional regulation, DNA damage response, repair and cell proliferation [16]. When localized in the cell cytoplasm triggers apoptosis via a p53-independent mechanism in human breast cancer cells [17,18]. BRCA1 gets fused to RAD51 and gets phosphorylated. This interaction between BRCA1 and RAD51 suggests a possible participation in the detection and recombination of DSBs.

On the basis of this information the aim of the present study was to investigate the association between the expression of Mre11, Rad50, H2AX, 53BP1 and BRCA1 status and various clinicopathological parameters in cohort of patients with OSCC and to evaluate the prognostic relevance of all variables in terms of survival.

Material and Methods

Patients

A total of 100 previously untreated patients with histolpathologically confirmed OSCC of tongue and buccal

mucosa enrolled at The Gujarat Cancer & Research Institute (GCRI) between year 2011 and 2014 were included in the study. Written consent of the patients prior to surgery was obtained. Clinical and pathological details were documented in a predesigned performa; which included age, gender and anatomic site, clinical TNM staging (tumor, node, and metastasis classification of malignant tumors according to American Joint Committee on Cancer), nodal status and histopathological differentiation. Out of 100 OSCC patients, 20% (20/100) patients had stage I, 22% (22/100) of patients had stage II, 18% (18/100) patients had stage III and 40% (40/100) patients had stage IV disease in current study. Postoperative treatment included radiotherapy and chemotherapy, instituted by the radiotherapy and medical oncology units of the GCRI, respectively (Table 1).

Follow-up study

Follow-up status of the patients was verified and regularly updated from the patients' case files maintained at the GCRI. Patients were monitored for a minimum period of 24 months from the date of diagnosis for survival analysis. Out of 100, only 90 patients could be followed for a minimum period of 24 months or died within that period were included for overall survival (OS) analysis out of which 43% (39/90) patients died within that period. While, out of them 12 patients who died because of the persistent disease within 24 months were omitted for relapse free survival (RFS) analysis. Thus, RFS was carried out in total 78 patients. Out of them 41% (32/78) patients developed recurrence within that period. RFS was expressed as the number of months from the date of surgery to the loco-regional relapse (Table 1).

Table 1. Clinicopathological characteristics of OSCC patients
included in the study

Parameters	Total patients N (%)		
	100 (100)		
Age (Range: 21-81 years)			
Median : 45 Years	47 (47)		
<45	53 (53)		
≥45	55 (55)		
Gender			
Male	75 (75)		
Female	25 (25)		
Anatomic site			
Tongue	61 (61)		
Buccal mucosa	39 (39)		
Tobacco habit			
Absent	14 (14)		
Present	86 (86)		
Tumor size			
T1/T2	71 (71)		
T3/T4	29 (29)		
Tumor stage			
$I(T_1N_0M_0)$	20 (20)		
II $(T_2N_0M_0)$	22 (22)		
III (T ₁₋₃ N ₀₋₁ M ₀)	18 (18)		
IV (T ₁₋₄ N ₀₋₃ M ₀₋₁)	40 (40)		
Nodal status			
Negative	59 (59)		
Positive	41 (41)		
Histologic grade			
Well differentiated	50 (50)		
Moderate/Poorly differentiated	50 (50)		
Treatment			
Surgery (s) followed by	100 (100)		
RT	54 (54)		
RT+CT	30 (30)		

Madridge Journal of Oncogenesis

Follow-up status (OS) Alive	90 (100) 51 (57)
Dead	39 (43)
Follow-up status (RFS)	78 (100)
No recurrence	46 (59)
Recurrence	32 (41)

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Paraffin embedded section of OSCC tumor tissues (N=100) with 4-µm thickness were collected on 3-aminopropyletriethoxy silane - coated glass slides. Immunostaining was performed on sections as described previously [19]. Briefly, sections were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in graded alcohol. The sections were incubated with hydrogen peroxide solution prepared in methanol for 15 minutes to quench the endogenous peroxidase activity and then cooked for 10 minutes with 10mM tri-sodium citrate buffer (pH-6.0) in boiling water bath for antigen retrieval. Thereafter, slides were incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight in a moist chamber. Before applying primary antibody, non specific conjugations were blocked using rabbit specific HRP/DAB (ABC) detection IHC kit (Abcam, Cambridge, UK). Primary antibodies with the appropriate dilution used in the study are depicted in Table 2. Antibody detection was achieved using 3, 3'-diaminobenzidin (DAB) as chromogen, counter stained with Mayer's haematoxylin, dehydrated in ethanol, mounted in dibutyl phthalate xylene (DPX), cover slipped and then observed under light microscope. As a positive control tissue section with intense staining for the given marker was included with each staining procedure while, for negative control, the primary antibody was replaced with tris-buffered saline.

Table 2. Primary antibodies used for expression of DNA damage	
signaling molecule	

Antigen	Primary antibody	Dilution	Staining pattern
Mre11	Rabbit monoclonal, clone:31H4 (Cell signaling technology, 4847)	1:50	Nuclear
Rad50	Mouse monoclonal, clone:13B3 (Genetex, GTX70228)	1:50	Nuclear
H2AX	Rabbit monoclonal, clone: EPR895 (Genetex, GTX62983)	1:1000	Nuclear
BRCA1	Mouse monoclonal, clone:GLK-2 (Santa cruz biotechnology, sc-56030)	1:10	Cytoplasmic
53BP1	Rabbit polyclonal (Invitrogen, A14034)	1:200	Nuclear

Interpretation of IHC

All the sections were scored separately by two individual observers in a blinded fashion. Sections were scored using modified Histo-score (H- score) method in which all the sections were scored on the basis of percentage of positive cells and staining intensity [19]. Thereafter, the staining was divided between weak and strong protein expressing groups according to the median H-score value of each of the studied biomarker which was 30, 45, 160, 60 and 120 for Mre11, Rad50, H2AX, BRCA1 and 53BP1, respectively. Protein expression below median H-score was interpreted as 'weak' protein expression and above median H-score as 'strong' protein expression.

Statistical analysis

All statistical data were analyzed by SPSS software version 20. An association between protein expression and clinicopathological parameters were calculated using two-tailed chi square test. Correlation between two parameters was calculated using Spearman's correlation coefficient (r). Estimates of univariate disease-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS) were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method and the differences were assessed by the log-rank test. Multivariate survival analysis was carried out using Cox regression forward step-wise model. All P values were two-tailed and a <0.05 level was considered statistically significant.

Results

Incidence of protein expression and correlation with clinicopathological parameters

In the set of 100 OSCC patients of the current study, the incidence of protein expression of DNA damage signaling molecules was at following rates; Mre11 68% (68/100), Rad50 87% (87/100), BRCA1 86% (86/100) while for H2AX and 53BP1 it was 100% (100/100). Amongst studied molecules, nuclear protein expression was observed for Mre11, Rad50, H2AX and 53BP1 while cytoplasmic protein expression was observed for BRCA1 in patients with OSCC (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Representative images of IHC staining of A) Negative staining, B) Mre11; Nuclear staining, C) Rad 50; Nuclear staining, D) H2AX; Nuclear staining, E) BRCA1; Cytoplasmic staining, F) 53BP1; Nuclear staining in patients with OSCC (original X 40x)

Correlation between biomarker expression and clinicopathological parameters

Clinicopathological characteristics of the OSCC patients included in the present study was age, gender, site of tumor, habit, tumor size, clinical stage, nodal status and tumor differentiation. Strong expression of Mre11 protein was significantly higher in patients with nodal extension (p=0.019; Figure 2A) whereas protein expression of Rad50 was significantly higher in patients with advanced stage disease (p=0.015; Figure 2B). Strong expression of 53BP1 protein was significantly higher in patients with buccal mucosa cancer as compared to patients with tongue carcinoma (p=0.045; Figure 2C). However, neither strong nor weak expression of H2AX, BRCA1 was associated with any of the clinicopathological parameters mentioned above. Protein expression of combined DNA damage signaling molecule analysis results are depicted in Table 3, which indicated that Rad50 was significantly positively correlated with H2AX (p=0.008) and 53BP1 (p=0.024). While, H2AX was

significantly positively correlated with 53BP1 (p=0.001). However, expression of Mre11 protein expression did not show any significant correlation with protein expression of other studied DNA damage signaling molecules.



Figure 2. Representative bar chart showing correlation of protein expression with clinicopathological parameters A) Mre11 protein expression with nodal status, B) Rad 50 protein expression with tumor stage, C) 53BP1protein expression with anatomic site

Table 3. Intercorrelation of	DNA damage signaling molecules	s in
patie	ents with OSCC	

		Mre11	Rad50	H2AX	BRCA1
Rad50	r	+0.060			
	р	0.552			
	r	+0.113	+0.263		
II ZAA	р	0.263	0.008*		
	r	-0.105	+0.161	+0.049	
BRCAI	р	0.297	0.111	0.625	
52DD1	r	+0.110	+0.226	+0.341	+0.085
22001	р	0.277	0.024*	0.001*	0.402

*statistically significant

Survival analysis

Univariate Kaplan-Meier survival analysis revealed that patients with strong expression of Mre11 protein had a significant reduced RFS (p=0.045; Table 4; Figure 3) whereas none of the other studied DNA damage signaling molecules were associated with reduced relapse. However, Mre11 failed to show any significant association with overall survival (Figure 4). At the same time all of the studied biomarker Mre11, Rad50, BRCA1, H2AX and 53BP1 failed to show any significant association with shorter OS in patients with OSCC. However, multivariate survival analysis by Cox regression forward step wise model showed Mre11 as a significant independent prognosticator in predicting reduced relapse rate in patients with OSCC (B= 0.764, HR=2.147, p=0.040).

Table 4. Univariate relapse free survival analysis of DNA damage signaling molecules using Kaplan-Meier survival function in patients with OSCC

Variables	N=78	Patients Relapsed N (%)	Log-rank	df	р
Mre-11					
Weak	37	11 (30)	1 006	1	0.045
Strong	41	21 (51)	4.000	'	0.045
Rad-50					
Weak	38	15 (39)	0.001	1	0762
Strong	40	17 (42)	0.091		0.765
H2AX					
Weak	44	17 (39)	0.200	1	
Strong	34	15 (44)	0.296		0.565
BRCA1					
Weak	44	19 (43)	0.102	1	0.000
Strong	34	13 (38)	0.165		0.009
53BP1					
Weak	50	21 (42)	0.076	1	0 702
Strong	28	11 (39)	0.076		0.783







Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier survival curve for OS in total OSCC patients (N=90) with Mre11 expression. Expression of Mre11 failed to show any significant association with overall survival

Survival analysis in relation to treatment offered

Kaplan-Meier univariate survival analysis did not show any significant correlation of protein expression of studied DNA damage signaling molecules with RFS or OS when treated with surgery followed by either radiotherapy or chemo-radiotherapy in patients with OSCC (data not shown).

Discussion

Numerous factors are thought to be involved in DNA damage signalling, processing and repair. However, present study evaluated clinical significance of DNA damage sensor molecules such as Mre11, Rad50, H2AX, BRCA1 and 53BP1 and correlated with various clinicopathological parameters and analyzed its role in the disease outcome. In the present study, a significant high incidence of strong Mre11 protein expression

was found in patients with lymphnode positivity than patients with lymphnode negativity indicating its association with aggressive tumor behavior. Additionally, in patients with serous ovarian cancer also, a significant high expression of Mre11 was noted in moderately differentiated tumors [20]. In current study, a significant high incidence of strong Rad50 protein expression was found in patients with advanced stage (stage III/IV) disease indicating its expression increases with disease advancement. In accordance, Ali-Fehmi et al observed an association of Rad50 protein expression with advanced disease stage in patients with ovarian cancer [21]. However, BRCA1 protein expression was not significantly correlated with any of the clinicopathological parameters in current study. In serous ovarian cancer, strong BRCA1 protein expression was significantly correlated with advanced stage disease and suggested its utility as prognostic factor in analysis of tumor biopsies and in determination of circulating tumor cells [21]. Further, a significant high incidence of strong 53BP1 protein expression was observed in patients with buccal mucosa cancer suggested an association of 53BP1 expression with excessive DNA damage to buccal mucosa due to longer exposure of tobacco to the buccal mucosa as compared to tongue. In lung adenocarcinoma, 53BP1 was correlated with advanced tumor stage, habit of cigarette smoking and lymphovascular invasion reflecting its association with increased tumor cell growth, metastasis and poor prognosis [22].

Univariate survival analysis by Kaplan-Meier revealed that strong Mre11 protein expression was significantly associated with high incidence of disease relapse in patients with OSCC. On the other hand, few studies on Mre11 expression have suggested an association of high Mre11 expression with improved survival rate in patients with colorectal cancer, breast cancer and bladder cancer [23,24]. Such discrepancy in the results might be because of difference in cell morphology, scoring method, patient inclusion criteria and treatment and geographical difference of conducted study. It has been also observed that germ line mutations in Mre11 complex genes lead to hereditary susceptibility to breast and/or ovarian cancer development which may play a role in other cancers too [25]. While, univariate survival analysis failed to show significant difference in incidence of disease relapse and death with Rad50, BRCA1 protein expression in OSCC patients which might be because of the either mutation in Rad50 gene or the activation of apoptotic pathway in which DSB repair pathways are blocked. Additionally, Rad50 is associated with several proteins such as BRCA1, ATM and CHK2 responsible for the hereditary susceptibility to ovarian and breast cancer development [25]. The present study did not show prognostic significance of the H2AX on disease relapse and death in OSCC patients. Further, a contradictory study showed that a significant reduced OS was observed in OSCC patients with positive expression of yH2AX protein [26]. The yH2AX also did not show significant association with disease outcome in patients with colorectal cancer, however, a tendency of worse survival was observed in those patients who had loss of yH2AX and underwent the preoperative radiotherapy suggesting that DSB repair deficient tumors were radioresistant [27]. Further, higher levels of yH2AX

proved as a significant predictor for reduced OS in patients with non-small cell lung cancer, triple negative breast cancer and in endometrial cancer [28]. The possible reason of such difference in finding could be due to the phosphorylation of H2AX which occurs only after the development of DNA DSBs [26]. Further, Chen et al reported BRCA1 in 17 breast tumors and these tumors exhibited cytoplasmic expression of BRCA1 suggested such aberrant staining pattern could be due to the intragenic mutation which ultimately leads to the loss of function of BRCA1 protein in patients with breast cancer [29]. In current study, 53BP1 protein expression did not show any significant correlation with RFS and OS in patients with OSCC. In pancreatic cancer, 53BP1 expression was not found to be correlated with various clinicopathological parameters and patients' survival. However, low expression of 53BP1 protein expression was found to modify the prognostic value of other predictive factors of pancreatic cancer such as level of CA 19-9 and lymphnode ratio (LNR) in such a way that high CA 19-9 and high LNR were associated with worse OS in pancreatic cancer while, with high 53BP1, LNR and CA19-9 were no longer associated with OS [30].

In relation to treatment, Mre11, Rad50, H2AX, BRCA1 and 53BP1 protein expression failed to demonstrate reduced relapse or death rate in subgroup of patients treated with surgery alone, surgery followed by radiotherapy and surgery followed by chemo-radio therapy (data not shown). Further, when these sensor molecules were correlated with each other, it was observed that, Mre11 did not show any significant correlation with any of the sensor molecule of the DDR pathway. A significant positive correlation was noted of Rad50 with H2AX and 53BP1 while, H2AX was significantly positively correlated with 53BP1, indicating that activation of any of the MRN complex molecule (Mre11/Rad50/Nibrin) may lead to initiation of further repair cascade.

To conclude, by profiling key signaling molecules of DNA damage repair (DDR) pathway in OSCC patients we have demonstrated that protein expression of Mre11 and Rad50 are strongly associated with disease advancement in OSCC. Further, strong expression of Mre11 protein is associated with increased recurrence rate suggesting their potential utility as prognostic tool in the analysis of tumor specimen. Moreover, as OSCC represents an especially lethal cancer with higher recurrence rate and limited therapeutic options, we believe that these association studies further underpin the DDR pathway as a novel area of potential therapeutic intervention for OSCC.

Acknowledgement

Authors are thankful to the Gujarat Cancer Society & The Gujarat Cancer Research Institute for providing financial support for the fulfillment of this study.

References

Van Gent DC, Hoeijmakers JH, Kanaar R. Chromosomal stability and the DNA double-stranded break connection. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2001; 2(3): 196– 206. doi: 10.1038/35056049

- Bernstein C, Bernstein H, Payne CM, Garewal H. DNA repair/pro-apoptotic dual role proteins in five major DNA repair pathways: fail-safe protection against carcinogenesis. *Mutat Res.* 2002; 511(2): 145-178. doi: 10.1016/ S1383-5742(02)00009-1
- Dave JH, Vora HH, Ghosh NR, Trivedi TI. Mediator of DNA damage checkpoint protein 1 (MDC1) as a prognostic marker for patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma. J Oral Pathol Med. 2017; 46(4): 253-258. doi: 10.1111/jop.12558
- 4. Assenmacher N, Hopfner KP. MRE11/RAD50/NBS1: complex activities. *Chromosoma*. 2004; 113(4): 157-166. doi: 10.1007/s00412-004-0306-4
- Shrivastav M, De Haro LP, Nickoloff JA. Regulation of DNA double-strand break repair pathway choice. *Cell Res.* 2008; 18(1): 134-147. doi: 10.1038/ cr.2007.111
- Flores-Pérez A, Rafaelli LE, Ramírez-Torres N, Aréchaga-Ocampo E, Frías S, Sánchez S, et al. RAD50 targeting impairs DNA damage response and sensitizes human breast cancer cells to cisplatin therapy. *Cancer Biol Ther.* 2014; 15(6): 777-788. doi: 10.4161/cbt.28551
- Figures MR, Wobb J, Araki K, Liu T, Xu L, Zhu H, et al. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma targeted chemosensitization. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2009; 141(2): 177-183. doi: 10.1016/j.otohns.2009.04.024
- Rogakou EP, Nieves-Neira W, Boon C, Pommier Y, Bonner WM. Initiation of DNA fragmentation during apoptosis induces phosphorylation of H2AX histone at serine 139. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275(13): 9390-9395.
- Gorgoulis VG, Vassiliou LV, Karakaidos P, Zacharatos P, Kotsinas A, Liloglou T, et al. Activation of the DNA damage checkpoint and genomic instability in human precancerous lesions. *Nature*. 2005; 434(7035): 907-913. doi: 10.1038/nature03485
- Wang B, Matsuoka S, Carpenter PB, Elledge SJ. 53BP1, a mediator of the DNA damage checkpoint. *Science*. 2002; 298(5597): 1435-1438. doi: 10.1126/science.1076182
- Morales JC, Franco S, Murphy MM, Bassing CH, Mills KD, Adams MM, et al. 53BP1 and p53 synergize to suppress genomic instability and lymphomagenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2006; 103(9): 3310-3315. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0511259103
- Cao L, Xu X, Bunting SF, Liu J, Wang RH, Cao LL, et al. A selective requirement for 53BP1 in the biological response to genomic instability induced by Brca1 deficiency. *Mol Cell.* 2009; 35(4): 534-541. doi: 10.1016/j. molcel.2009.06.037
- Rybanska-Spaeder I, Reynolds TL, Chou J, et al. 53BP1 is limiting for NHEJ repair in ATM-deficient model systems that are subjected to oncogenic stress or radiation. *Mol Cancer Res.* 2013; 11(10): 1223-1234. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-13-0252-T
- 14. Santivasi WL, Wang H, Wang T, Yang Q, Mo X, Brogi E, et al. Association between cytosolic expression of BRCA1 and metastatic risk in breast cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2015; 113(3): 453-459. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2015.208
- 15. Somasundaram K. Breast cancer gene 1 (BRCA1): role in cell cycle regulation and DNA repair-perhaps through transcription. *J Cell Biochem*. 2003; 88(6): 1084-1091. doi: 10.1002/jcb.10469
- 16. Yang ES, Xia F. BRCA1 16 years later: DNA damage-induced BRCA1 shuttling. *FEBS J.* 2010; 277(15): 3079-3085. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2010.07734.x
- 17. Rakha EA, El-Sheikh SE, Kandil MA, El-Sayed ME, Green AR, Ellis IO. Expression of BRCA1 protein in breast cancer and its prognostic significance. *Hum Pathol.* 2008; 39(6): 857-865. doi: 10.1016/j.humpath.2007.10.011

- Jiang J, Yang ES, Jiang G, Nowsheen S, Wang H, Wang T, et al. p53-Dependent BRCA1 nuclear export controls cellular susceptibility to DNA damage. *Cancer Res.* 2011; 71(16): 5546-5557. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-10-3423
- Dave JH, Vora HH, Trivedi TI, Ghosh NR. Nibrin expression in oral squamous cell carcinoma: association with clinicopathological parameters. J Cancer Metastasis Treat. 2016; 2: 436-442. doi: 10.20517/2394-4722.2015.82
- Ye Q, Chen L, Yin X, Liu Y, Ji Q, Zhao E. Development of Serous Ovarian Cancer is Associated with the Expression of Homologous recombination Pathway Proteins. *Pathol Oncol Res.* 2014; 20(4): 931-938. doi: 10.1007/ s12253-014-9776-8
- 21. Ali-Fehmi R, Chatterjee M, Ionan A, Levin NK, Arabi H, Bandyopadhyay S, et al. Analysis of the expression of human tumor antigens in ovarian cancer tissues. *Cancer Biomark*. 2010; 6(1): 33-48. doi: 10.3233/CBM-2009-0117
- 22. Lai TC, Chow KC, Lin TY, Chiang IP, Fang HY, Chen CY, et al. Expression of 53BP1 as a cisplatin-resistant marker in patients with lung adenocarcinomas. *Oncol Rep.* 2010; 24(2): 321-328.
- 23. Gao J, Zhang H, Arbman G, Sun XF. RAD50/MRE11/NBS1 proteins in relation to tumour development and prognosis in patients with microsatellite stable colorectal cancer. *Histol Histopathol.* 2008; 23(12): 1495-1502. doi: 10.14670/HH-23.1495
- Choudhury A, Nelson LD, Teo MT, Chilka S, Bhattarai S, Johnston CF, et al. MRE11 expression is predictive of cause-specific survival following radical radiotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2010; 70(18): 7017-7026. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-1202
- Heikkinen K, Karppinen S, Soini Y, Mäkinen M, Winqvist R. Mutation screening of Mre11 complex genes: indication of RAD50 involvement in breast and ovarian cancer susceptibility. *J Med Genet*. 2003; 40(12): e131. doi: 10.1136/jmg.40.12.e131
- Oliveira-Costa JP, Oliveira LR, Zanetti R, Zanetti JS, da Silveira GG, Chavichiolli Buim ME, et al. BRCA1 and γH2AX as independent prognostic markers in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Oncoscience*. 2014; 1(5): 383-391. doi: 10.18632/oncoscience.47
- 27. Beggs AD, Domingo E, McGregor M, Presz M, Johnstone E, Midgley R, et al. Loss of expression of the double strand break repair protein ATM is associated with worse prognosis in colorectal cancer and loss of Ku70 expression is associated with CIN. *Oncotarget*. 2012; 3(11): 1348-1355. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.694
- Matthaios D, Foukas PG, Kefala M, Hountis P, Trypsianis G, Panayiotides IG, et al. γ-H2AX expression detected by immunohistochemistry correlates with prognosis in early operable non-small cell lung cancer. Onco Targets Ther. 2012; 5: 309-314. doi: 10.2147/OTT.S36995
- Chen Y, Chen CF, Riley DJ, Allred DC, Chen PL, Von Hoff D, et al. Aberrant Subcellular Localization of BRCA1 in Breast Cancer. *Science*. 1995; 270(5237): 789-791.
- Ausborn NL, Wang T, Wentz SC, Washington MK, Merchant NB, Zhao Z, et al. 53BP1 expression is a modifier of the prognostic value of lymph node ratio and CA 19-9 in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *BMC Cancer*. 2013; 13: 155. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-13-155