Approximation of Protein Quality (DIAAS) of Vegetarian Dishes Served in Restaurants
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Abstract

Protein quality (DIAAS) of the most popular vegetarian and meat dishes served by 93 restaurants was calculated using published data on the digestibility of individual amino acids. Protein qualities of the vegetarian dishes were found to be lower than the meat dishes. A considerable number of vegetarian dishes (16.1%) did not contain any proteinaceous ingredient and therefore received a DIAAS value of zero. Meat dishes did not contain any low quality protein and their DIAAS values were higher than 87%. Vegetarian dishes only comprised 15 to 30% of the total number of dishes in most restaurants (60.2%). Pasta (21.5%), vegetable dishes (such as boiled, grilled or sautéed vegetables) (20.4%) and salads (19.4%) were found to be the most-selling vegetarian dishes. Price of the dishes, whether they were vegetarian or not, did not relate to the protein quality of the dishes.
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Introduction

Vegetarians, who refrain from eating the flesh of animals, usually make up a small fraction of populations. In the UK, the number of vegetarians is estimated to be nearly 2% of the population [1]. In the USA, this number reaches to 3.3% with the inclusion of vegans [2]. Receiving adequate amounts of high quality protein is a major concern for people wishing to become a vegetarian. Nutrition authorities, however, continue to emphasize that a well-planned and balanced diet is able to achieve the protein needs of vegetarians [3].

The prevalence of eating out is increasing, as is the range of eating outlets and types of food [4] but this still does not ascertain vegetarians to be able to consume healthy and well-balanced meals every time they eat out. The variety of vegetarian dishes that are nutritionally adequate is quite limited when compared to the vast number of choices a meat-eater can make. The limited repertoire of vegetarian choices includes pasta, pizza, sandwiches and salads, which are prepared by mainly utilizing vegetables, cereals, legumes, nuts and sometimes seeds. Combining these ingredients and configuring a dish containing protein of good or high quality is not always the priority of the restaurants, whose aim is to continue to exist as a business entity and earn profit. Secondly, offering vegetarian dishes with good or high quality protein also necessitates catering staff with sufficient nutritional knowledge.

Protein quality of foods is the ability of dietary protein to supply the amino acid needs of the body. High protein content may not always correspond to high content of digestible indispensable amino acids (IAAs) [5]. Protein quality of a food is determined according to the amino acid (AA) content of the food and its protein digestibility. In
Materials and Methods

Choice of restaurants

The restaurants included in the study offered at least two vegetable main courses in their menus. The restaurants were located in the neighbourhood of Çafęraga and part of Osmanaga (Kadıköy, Istanbul, Turkey). The neighbourhoods were chosen due to their central location and easy accessibility by public transport.

Fast food chains and restaurants that offered only one type of meal such as pizza were not included in the study. A total of 110 restaurants were visited and data was collected from 93 of them. Nine restaurants declined to take part and eight restaurants were under refurbishment at the time of the study.

Menu and recipe analysis

Menus and recipes were analysed in consultation with the chefs in the restaurants. During the visits to the restaurants through March and April 2017 researchers:

1. Made a note of recipes of best-selling vegetarian and meat dishes and their ingredients.
2. Tasted and examined the dishes when recipe information provided was inaccurate or lacking details.
3. Recorded the price of the dishes.

Nutritional analysis

Protein and indispensable amino acid (IAA) content: The ingredients and their amounts in the recipe of each dish were worked out using the recipe information provided by the chefs. When this information was not available, the researchers studied the recipes by tasting the dishes and weighing the ingredients. Protein and IAA content of the dishes were obtained from a food composition database (BeBiS, Ebispro for Windows, Version BeBiS 7, Pasifik Company) that contained information on 20,000 foods and beverages. The USDA Food Composition Database was used for a few foods that BeBiS did not contain the details of.

Protein quality: Protein quality (DIAAS) of the dishes was calculated (Equation 1) using food composition databases (for protein and IAA content) and published data on the digestibility of IAA (histidine, isoleucine, leucine, methionine, phenylalanine, threonine, tryptophan and valine). After the DIAAS value for each IAA available in the food is computed, the lowest value is assigned as the DIAAS score (as percent) for that food. If a calculation was made for a mixed dish (i.e. recipes incorporating more than one ingredient that contain protein), the values above 100 were not truncated to 100. Protein quality was classified as excellent (for DIAAS values equal to or greater than 100, good (DIAAS values between 75 and 99) and low (DIAAS values less than 75) [6].

Equation 1. DIAAS (%) = 100 × mg of digestible dietary IAA in 1 g of the dietary protein / mg of the same dietary IAA in 1 g of the reference protein

For the reference scoring patterns of IAA, the values for adults (aged 18 or over) were used (Table 1). This group better represented the individuals that consumed vegetarian dishes in the restaurants.

Only the ingredients with a protein content higher than 2.5% were included in the calculations. This was because the ingredients with low protein content did not make a significant contribution to the DIAAS values.

The true ileal digestibility (TID) values were obtained from published data [8-12].

Statistical analysis

Microsoft Office Excel was used to calculate the DIAAS values and explore the possible relationship between the protein quality and the prices of vegetarian and meat dishes.

Table 1. Indispensable amino acid reference scoring pattern for adults [6].

| Scoring pattern (mg/g protein requirement) |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|                | His | Ile | Leu | Lys | SAA* | AAA* | Thr | Trp | Val |
| 15              | 30  | 59  | 45  | 22  | 38   | 23   | 6   | 39  |

His: Histidine; Ile: Isoleucine; Leu: Leucine; Lys: Lysine; SAA: Sulphur Amino Acids (methionine+cysteine); AAA: Aromatic Amino Acids; Thr: Threonine; Trp: Tryptophan; Val: Valine.

*For methionine and phenylalanine, SAA and AAA values were used, respectively.

Results

Most popular vegetarian and meat dishes

Pasta (21.5%), various vegetable dishes (such as boiled, grilled or sautéed vegetables) (20.4%) and salads (19.4%) were the most-selling vegetarian dishes. Among the meat dishes, burgers (29.0%), chicken (24.7%) and meatballs (12.0%) were the most popular (data not shown).
In most restaurants (60.2%) vegetarian dishes comprised 15 to 30% of the total dishes. Fewer restaurants offered vegetarian dishes that made up more than 30% of the menu. Only one restaurant had 50-55% of their dishes vegetarian (Figure 1).

Protein quality of vegetarian and meat dishes

A total of 15 vegetarian dishes (16.1%) did not contain any proteinaceous ingredient and therefore received a DIAAS value of zero (Figure 2). The protein in 31.2% of the vegetarian dishes were found to be of low quality (DIAAS value<75%). This ‘low’ quality can be attributed to the deficiency of sulphur amino acids (methionine in particular) in beans and legumes and that of lysine in cereals [5]. The following beans and legumes were used in the vegetarian dishes (in the order of most frequently used to least): chickpeas, lentils, broad beans, black-eyed beans and mung beans. The most commonly used cereal in the vegetarian dishes was wheat, which was followed by bulgur and rice.

Meat dishes (n=93) did not contain any low quality protein and their DIAAS values were higher than 87%. Slightly, more than a quarter (25.8%) of the meat dishes was identified as containing good quality proteins and 74.2% of the dishes contained excellent quality proteins. The main ingredient in the meat dishes was beef followed by chicken, turkey, sausages, pastrami and lamb. Fish, although identified as containing protein of ‘excellent’ quality (DIAAS>100%) [14], was not part of any of the meat dishes.

Protein quality and price of vegetarian versus meat dishes

There was no linear relationship between the protein quality and price of neither vegetarian nor meat dishes. The correlation coefficients for vegetarian and meat dishes were $r=0.11$ and $r=0.05$, respectively (Figure 3).

Discussion

Among the indispensable amino acids, methionine, lysine, tryptophan and threonine concentrations are reported to be generally lower in plant-based sources of proteins [15]. The first-limiting amino acid (LAA) in the vegetarian dishes was methionine (69.9%), followed by lysine (8.6%) and phenylalanine (5.4%). Phenylalanine was found to be the first-limiting amino acid in most (93.5%) meat dishes followed by methionine (6.5%) (Data not shown). Pseudo cereals (quinoa, buckwheat and amaranth), which are abundant in leucine and phenylalanine, are excellent sources of AAs [16]. Among 93 vegetarian dishes, only one was found to utilize quinoa and another one contained buckwheat as a source of protein. This could be due to higher costs of these pseudo cereals as they are not cultivated in many parts of the world [17].
The improved amino acid profile of mixtures of foods containing protein, in comparison with profiles of single foods, is known as ‘complementation’ [18]. None of the vegetarian dishes in the study made use of this concept by combining two protein sources with complementary amino acid profile. The following foods can be paired to form complementary proteins: Legumes with grains, nuts and seeds; grains with legumes; vegetables with grains, nuts and seeds; corn with legumes. Bean and rice or tortillas, tofu with rice (or any grain), hummus with pitta bread, lentil soup with bread, quinoa salad with black beans are few examples for dishes whose proteins complement each other. By the inclusion of these foods/ingredients, the protein quality of vegetarian dishes can be significantly improved.

Because plant-based foods provide less protein per gram of food, greater quantities of plant-based sources of protein would need to be consumed to achieve the same total protein intake [19]. Conversely, the portion size of most meat dishes was found to be greater than vegetarian dishes in the current study.

Vegetarians, when dining out in non-vegetarian restaurants, are reported to have a limited and non-creative variety of meatless options (e.g. salad and pastas) [20] and this was also the case in the current study. Restaurants consider the retail prices, costs and the effort of staff in the kitchen when it comes to designing new dishes. With respect to the growing trend towards healthy food choices, menu planning also becomes more complicated due to associated activities for searching and selecting new ingredients and creating healthy menu options [21].

Obviously, there are other reasons that derive from consumers’ perceptions and these can influence the menu decisions of restaurants. In most places around the world, the consumption of meat is held in high esteem and meat is regarded as a food product with high nutritional value [22]. It is also a common misconception among consumers that vegetarian food is boring, unsatisfying and uninspiring. Many chefs and cooks that cater to vegetarians think that preparing and delivering quality vegetarian meals is hard work [23]. Nevertheless, none of these seems to be a justifiable reason to produce vegetarian dishes of low protein quality or dishes that contain no protein at all.

This study raises some worrying concerns as to the attentiveness of restaurants to the needs of the vegetarians. It is hoped that the study would contribute to drawing the attention of food service professionals to the importance of the protein content/quality of vegetarian dishes offered in restaurants. As ever, nutritional knowledge of culinary staff remains an important factor influencing the food choices of individuals when dining. There should be continuous effort to provide culinary staff appropriate training in order to offer healthier, more varied and nutritionally-balanced dishes/meals to the public, especially to the people whose diets lack one or more of food groups. Restaurants can also utilize their vegetarian friendly options to address other important trends in the food industry such as sustainable protein consumption and environmental impact of our daily food choices.

**Conclusion**

One of the challenges in the study was that the TID values (for protein and/or IAAs) could not be found for all ingredients. In cases where the TID values obtained from human studies were unavailable, values obtained with the growing pig (the preferred animal model) or the growing rat were sought for. When the latter was non-existent, then AA digestibility was assumed to be equivalent to crude protein digestibility, as stipulated by the FAO [6]. Another approach taken due to lack of the TID data was to assume similar values for comparable ingredients (i.e. instead of cheese, the TID value of casein was used). When doing this, protein content, cooking technique and/ or botanical family of the ingredient were taken into account. This study corroborated once more the need for developing studies and gathering data on ileal AA digestibility directly determined in humans [10].

Among the dishes examined, vegetarian dishes had considerably lower protein quality than non-vegetarian ones. Some vegetarian dishes did not contain any protein at all. Although all menus that were examined included at least one vegetarian dish, the menus were predominantly comprised of meat dishes. The price of the dishes, whether they were vegetarian or not, did not relate to the protein quality. Vegetarian menu options in the restaurants were limited and the protein quality of approximately 47% of vegetarian dishes was unsatisfactory.

The study lays emphasis on the need for increasing the awareness of foodservice industry of the needs of vegetarian people. Restaurants should endeavour to provide vegetarian menus with greater diversity and offer dishes with better protein quality and higher nutrient density. The motives and nutritional needs of vegetarians should be recognised and actualised during the process of menu development. This is a preliminary study and further studies that look into protein quality of dishes offered to people with other dietary requirements (i.e. vegan and different types of vegetarianism such as lacto and pesco vegetarianism) are needed.
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