Low Dose Aspirin in prevention of Spontaneous Preterm Birth in Suez Canal University Hospital
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Abstract

Background: Preterm birth (birth before 37 weeks of gestation) is a major problem in obstetrics and affects an estimated 15 million pregnancies worldwide annually. A history of previous preterm birth is the strongest risk factor for preterm birth, and recurrent spontaneous preterm birth affects more than 2.5 million pregnancies each year.

The Objective: To assess the efficacy of low-dose aspirin for the prevention of spontaneous preterm birth in pregnant women with high risk for preterm birth at Suez Canal University hospital. To assess the cost-effectiveness of low dose aspirin vs placebo in the prevention of recurrent spontaneous preterm birth in a randomized clinical trial.

Methods/design: Women with a singleton pregnancy and a history of spontaneous preterm birth in a singleton pregnancy (16 to <37 weeks of gestation) were asked to participate in a randomized, double blinded, controlled trial. In total, 120 pregnant women, the first group was randomized to low dose aspirin (81 mg once daily) plus folic acid 500 mcg, the second group took folic acid only. Study initiated from 8 to 16 weeks up to maximal 36 weeks and 6 days of gestation. The primary outcome measure was preterm birth, defined as birth at a gestational age (GA) <37 weeks.

Results: In total, 120 pregnant women were randomized to show a reduction in preterm birth. Comparison between the two study groups in terms of occurrence rates of spontaneous preterm labor according to treatment arm. It showed a statistically lower rate in study group when compared to control group (8.3% versus 16.7% respectively, p=0.043). Since aspirin was found effective in preventing preterm birth, then, there was cost savings, because of the low costs of aspirin.

Conclusions: Low-dose aspirin treatment is an extremely attractive potential prophylactic agent for preterm birth, especially in low-resource settings, that deserves further attention and confirmation by future mega trials.
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Introduction

Globally, about 15 million pregnancies each year end in preterm birth, i.e. before the 37th week of gestation [1]. Preterm birth is the most important cause of perinatal morbidity and mortality worldwide. Despite the administration of progesterone to women with a previous spontaneous preterm birth, ~20%–30% will deliver preterm again [2].

Preterm births (PTB) are a primary cause of neonatal deaths worldwide, with surviving infants at risk of serious neonatal complications and long-term disability [3]. The economic cost of PTB was estimated to be £2.9 billion for a single year in the UK.
[4,5], with the psychological, social and financial costs to families no less profound [6,7]. A World Health Organization (WHO) priority-setting exercise identified PTB as a ‘top ten’ research priority to 2025 [8]. Experts continue to debate the optimal clinical care of pregnant women to prevent or manage PTB. Short- and long-term effects of diverse interventions must be set against women’s individual histories and preferences.

Accumulating evidence suggests that uteroplacental ischemia plays an important role in the aetiology of spontaneous preterm birth, comparable to its role in preeclampsia. As aspirin is proven to reduce the risk of developing preeclampsia, it is hypothesized that aspirin might also be effective to prevent spontaneous preterm birth through its antithrombotic and anti-inflammatory effect. Several studies have shown promising results [9–11].

Low-dose aspirin (LDA) is attractive as a potential prophylactic agent against preterm birth, because it is inexpensive, widely available, and has a reasonable safety profile during pregnancy [12].

The effect of aspirin on spontaneous preterm labor as the primary main outcome not discussed. Almost all studies are discussing this issue as a secondary outcome. The vast majority of studies initiated treatment with aspirin after conception, however, often in the second trimester. Also, few studies, assessed preterm birth as a primary outcome, and most others targeted preeclampsia, fetal growth restriction, or both.

Methodology

This study was carried out as interventional study (Randomized controlled clinical trial) that was carried out in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of the Suez Canal University Hospital from August 2017 till March 2018. Inclusion criteria included pregnant women aged (18–35) years, primi gravid & multipara, singleton pregnancy, gestational age between 16 weeks till 36 weeks and high-risk patient for preterm: history of one or more preterm birth, preeclampsia in a previous pregnancy and history of gestational diabetes mellitus were included in the study. Exclusion criteria includes premature rupture of membranes, women diagnosed to have incompetent cervix, preeclampsia in current pregnancy, chronic medical illness (chronic HTN, pre-existing insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM), cardiac diseases), multiple pregnancy (twins, triplet) and women known to have medical contraindicated to aspirin: bleeding disorders (low platelets, Von Willebrand’s, hemophilia, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura, inadequate vitamin K), women who are known to have any cardiac diseases, women who were known to have allergy against aspirin and bleeding stomach ulcers.

Simple random sampling in which a computerized random sample was taken from the study population. Sample size was 120 pregnant women, 60 for each group after addition of 10% drop-out rate.

Study population

The studies were carried on pregnant women with high risk for spontaneous preterm birth attending or referred to the outpatient clinic and were randomly assigned into one of two groups:

Control group: Pregnant women with high risk for preterm birth were treated with folic acid 5 mg plus conventional strategies used in preterm birth (progesterone, cervical Cerclage “if needed”, Tocolytics, and Steroids), with continuous follow up to 36 weeks or up to delivery whatever came first.

Interventional group: Pregnant women with high risk for preterm birth were treated with low dose aspirin (81 mg daily) ]Trade name: Aspirin 81 mg, 30 chewable tab[+Folic acid 5 mg daily trade name Folic acid 500 mcg 20 tab], plus conventional strategies used in preterm birth such as (administration of progesterone, cerclage “if needed” or pessary according to local protocols for prevention of preterm birth derived from national guidelines, with continuous follow up to 36 weeks or up to delivery whatever came first.

Study steps

All patients enrolled in the study were subjected to:

1. An informed written consent obtained from all patients participating in the study (Appendix).
2. Complete personal, obstetric and medical history:
   - Name, age, address, occupation, duration of marriage.
   - Gravida, parity, last menstrual period (LMP), number of living children, mode and place of previous deliveries, history of previous preterm birth.
   - Any general medical conditions as hypertension and diabetes mellitus, heart diseases, renal diseases or history of drug intake.
3. Complete general examination specially:
   - Vital signs (blood pressure (BP), pulse, body temperature).
   - Lower limb edema.
4. Obstetrics examination
   - Fundal level and palpation of contractions.
   - Vaginal examination in lithotomy position by sterile Cusco speculum in good illumination for (leak of amniotic fluid, uterine bleeding).
   - Cervical ripening was assessed by digital examination (cervical dilatation & effacement).
5. Ultrasound to:
   - Confirm gestational age and number of fetuses.
   - Biophysical profile BPP (fetal breathing, fetal movement, fetal tone, amniotic fluid index).
   - Ultrasound to exclude congenital anomalies.
   - The cervical length was measured by trans-vaginal sonography with the woman adopting the dorsal lithotomy position with an empty bladder. When the cervical canal was visualized, the probe was withdrawn to avoid pressure, distortion and elongation of the
cervix. A sagittal view showing the entire cervix (endocervix and vaginal cervix), with the echogenic endocervical mucosa along the length of the cervical canal, was obtained. Calipers were used to measure the distance between the notches made by the junction of the anterior and posterior cervical walls at the internal and external os. Three measurements were performed (in millimeters), and the shortest distance was noted.

6. Laboratory investigations (renal function (S. creatinine), blood glucose (RBS) and urine analysis, complete blood count (CBC mainly WBCs).

7. Follow up of two groups till delivery or 36 weeks which came first was done, pregnancy outcomes, including delivery date, & mode of delivery was assessed by postpartum phone interview and through medical record review.

8. Analysis of both groups according to outcome was done, gestational age at time of delivery, evidence of complication to fetus or mother, and the classifying patients according to age, time of delivery, preterm birth phenotype was done.

**How to diagnose preterm birth**

1. **Uterine monitoring:** Evening contraction rate of >4 -6 per hour in weeks <37 wk.

2. **Digital examination:** An effaced or dilated cervix confirms the diagnosis of spontaneous preterm labour. (2, 3, 4 cm with 50% effacement).

3. **Ultrasound:**
   - Estimation of cervical length by Transvaginal ultrasound (TVUS).
   - Cervical length <30 mm using TVUS had a sensitivity of 100% in predicting preterm birth.

**Statistical analysis**

Collected data was processed using SPSS version 22, (2016) for windows. Quantitative data was expressed as mean + standard deviation while qualitative data will be expressed as numbers and percentages. Student t-test was used to test significance of difference for quantitative variables and chi square was used as a test of significance of difference for qualitative variables. A probability value (p-value) <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

We enrolled 120 patients in this study to assess the efficacy of low-dose aspirin for the prevention of spontaneous preterm birth. The study population was categorized into two groups; group (1): control group and group (2): study group or low dose of aspirin group.

Table 1 is showing group comparison of demographic data among both groups, there is no statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of mean gestational age as shown in table 4.

**Table 1. Group comparison of demographic data among both groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control Group n=60</th>
<th>Study group n=60</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, year (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>30.61 ± 2.9</td>
<td>28.97 ± 5.4</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean body mass index at conception, kg/m² (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>29.01 ± 3.29</td>
<td>26.87 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity (five birth)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- None</td>
<td>28 (46.7%)</td>
<td>27 (45%)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- One</td>
<td>21 (35%)</td>
<td>23 (38.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Two</td>
<td>11 (18.3%)</td>
<td>10 (16.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past history of pregnancy loss (abortion + preterm birth)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- One</td>
<td>42 (70%)</td>
<td>40 (66.7%)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Two</td>
<td>18 (30%)</td>
<td>20 (33.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value significant<0.05, RR, risk ratio; CI, confidence interval.

**Table 2. Distribution of study population in terms of last loss prior to enrollment in current study (months).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control Group N=60</th>
<th>Study Group N=60</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–4 or less month</td>
<td>32 (53.3%)</td>
<td>31 (51.7%)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.66-1.46)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–8 or less month</td>
<td>11 (18.3%)</td>
<td>12 (20%)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.5-2.28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8–12 or less month</td>
<td>4 (6.7%)</td>
<td>5 (8.3%)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.3-4.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 12 months</td>
<td>13 (21.7%)</td>
<td>12 (20%)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.45-1.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value significant< 0.05, RR, risk ratio; CI, confidence interval.

**Table 3. Distribution of study population in terms of Obstetric history.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control Group N=60</th>
<th>Study Group N=60</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous preterm labor (n, %)</td>
<td>10 (16.7%)</td>
<td>5 (8.3%)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.19-1.49)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preeclampsia</td>
<td>5 (8.3%)</td>
<td>7 (11.7%)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.45-4.6)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectopic</td>
<td>1 (1.7%)</td>
<td>2 (3.3%)</td>
<td>1.96 (0.13-21.1)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiples</td>
<td>4 (6.7%)</td>
<td>5 (8.3%)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.35-4.37)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillbirth</td>
<td>10 (16.6%)</td>
<td>5 (8.3%)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.19-1.49)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic abortion</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
<td>2 (3.3%)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.11-3.9)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational diabetes</td>
<td>8 (13.3%)</td>
<td>7 (11.7%)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.34-2.3)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal death</td>
<td>1 (1.7%)</td>
<td>1 (1.7%)</td>
<td>1 (0.06-15.6)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value significant < 0.05, RR, risk ratio; CI, confidence interval.

We didn’t find statistically significant differences between the two groups when compared in terms of mean gestational age as shown in table 4.

**Table 4. Comparison of mean gestational age between both groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control Group N=60</th>
<th>Study Group N=60</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean gestational age, week (range)</td>
<td>35.1 (33.5-36)</td>
<td>35.7 (32.5-36.5)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 28–32</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
<td>1 (1.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 32–37</td>
<td>7 (11.7%)</td>
<td>4 (6.7%)</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- &gt;37</td>
<td>50 (83.3%)</td>
<td>55 (91.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value significant<0.05.
A comparison between the two study groups regarding modes of delivery. It also showed no statistically significant difference between the two groups (p<0.05), RR (95% CI) were 1.1 (0.38-3.16) & 0.8 (0.17-3.7) for vaginal & cesarean delivery for control & study group respectively (P value significant <0.05, RR, risk ratio; CI, confidence interval).

A comparison between the two study groups in terms of birth weight (2.570 VS.2.437 grams) & mean APGAR score at one and five minutes 8 (0-9%). It also showed no statistically significant difference between the two groups (p<0.05).

Side effects of low dose aspirin administration in study group, 10 cases had nausea & vomiting (16.6 %), 5 cases had epigastric pain (8.3%), allergic reactions (1.6%) (95% CI, 0.41-1.03).

A comparison between the two study groups in terms of neonatal death was (20%) in both groups. It showed no statistically significant difference between the two groups (p<0.05) RR (95% CI) 1 (0.11-8.9).

Discussion

Preterm birth is considered one of the major causes of neonatal morbidity and mortality contributing to several chronic medical hazards. Despite considerable research and clinical efforts, the rate of preterm birth continued to rise in the United States through 2006, when the overall rate of preterm birth increased to 12.8%, representing a 19% increase since 1990 [13]. Since that time, rates have stabilized, slightly decreasing to 11.5% in 2012 [14].

We enrolled 120 patients in current study. They were randomly allocated into one of the two groups (study group or control group). Each group included 60 patients.

This study aimed at improving the outcomes of pregnancy and decrease incidence rates of preterm birth throughout assessment of the efficacy of application of low-dose aspirin for the prevention of spontaneous preterm birth.

In this study, the results showed that no statistically significant difference in mean age and BMI in control group when compared to the study group. This result was similar to those reported by Silver et al. [9] who also reported no significant differences between study group and control group.

According to the distribution of current population in terms of the duration from last loss prior to enrollment in current study, both groups showed highest rate in 0-4 times of loss group (53.3% in control group and 51.7% in study group) and lowest rate in 8-12 times group (6.7% in control group and 8.3% in study group). Overall, the distribution of the population in terms of time from last loss prior to enrollment in current study showed no significant difference between the two groups (p>0.05) (RR, risk ratio; CI, confidence interval=0.98; (0.66-1.46). These findings are similar to those reported by Silver and his colleagues [9] who also didn’t report significant difference between the two studies groups in terms of time elapsed since last loss (p>0.05).

Current study showed that the number of previous pregnancies with live birth outcome was none in most population in control and study group (46.7% and 45% respectively) with overall insignificant difference between the two groups. 70% of control group comparing with 66.7% of the study group had only one previous pregnancy loss with no significant difference between the two groups (P value=0.1). These findings are similar to the results reported by other papers that also didn’t display a significant difference between study and control groups regarding this issue (p>0.05) [15].

According to the obstetric history gestational diabetes was the most frequent complication associated with the pregnancy in both groups (13.3% in control group and 11.7% in study one). RR, risk ratio; CI, confidence interval=(0.89(0.34-2.3). There was no statistical difference in all diseases associated with the pregnancy which included in current study.

All the previous displayed results of current study and other studies show insignificant differences between study and control group in terms of many factors such as BMI, time passed since last pregnancy loss, frequency of previous live births and pregnancy history of medical conditions such as gestational diabetes. These comparisons are excluding the possibility of these factors to have a confounding effect on current study results.

Current study results displayed a statistically significant lower rate of spontaneous preterm labor among study group (8.3%) compared with 16.67% in the control group. In current study only fifteen cases had premature labor that was divided as ten cases in control group and five cases in the study group. These findings agree with those reported by Silver et al. [9] who also reported significant lower rates among aspirin group (p<0.05).

The comparison between the two study groups in terms of occurrence rates of spontaneous preterm labor according to treatment arm. It showed a statistically lower rate in study group when compared to control group (8.3% versus 16.7% respectively, p=0.043) with a relative risk of 0.67 (95% CI, 0.41-1.03). These findings are consistent with findings of Silver et al. study who reported a RR of 0.71 (95% CI, 0.42-1.23) [9], as displayed in the results, the majority of preterm births occurred after 34 weeks of gestation. However, the association with decreased preterm birth also was present before 34 weeks of gestation. We didn’t find a statistically significant differences between the two groups when compared in terms of mean gestational age, (35.1 ± 2.38 versus 35.7 ± 1.8, p=0.12). These findings are similar to those reported by Silver et al. who reported mean age of preterm birth as (35.9 versus 35.3) respectively [9].

Furthermore, the magnitude of the association with preterm birth was clinically important. It is uncertain whether the association is the result of an increased benefit from treatment initiated before conception, very early in pregnancy, or both.

In contrast, there was no trend toward a decrease in medically indicated preterm births. Moreover, aspirin was not associated with preeclampsia or gestational hypertension, the most common reason identified for medically indicated preterm delivery. This is divergent to most but not all other
Neonatal death results in current study showed the same percentage (20%) in the two treatment arms. Silver et al. [9] study reported insignificant difference between the two groups according to the neonatal death [17]. The main strength of current study is it's one of few studies in the literature discussing the effect of aspirin on spontaneous preterm labor as the primary main outcome. Almost all studies present in the current literature are discussing this issue as a secondary outcome. The vast majority of studies initiated treatment with aspirin after conception, however, often in the second trimester. Also, no study, assessed preterm birth as a primary outcome, and most others targeted preeclampsia, fetal growth restriction, or both.

**Conclusion**

Even a small reduction in preterm birth would have tremendous medical, social, and financial benefits given the wide availability, low cost, relative safety, stability, and ease of administration of low-dose aspirin.

Low-dose aspirin administration has statistically significant effect on reduction of occurrence of preterm birth in study vs. control group.

Low-dose aspirin treatment is an extremely attractive potential prophylactic agent for preterm birth, especially in low-resource settings, that deserves further attention.

**Recommendations**

We recommend the use of low dose aspirin for prevention of spontaneous preterm birth especially for high-risk women for preterm birth. Further studies are needed on larger sample size to assess the potential benefits of low dose aspirin for reduction of spontaneous preterm birth.
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